IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

DUBLIN DIVISION

)	
)	
) CV 322-026	
)	
)	
)) CV 322-026)))

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On March 24, 2022, Plaintiff, in inmate at Telfair State Prison in Helena, Georgia, submitted a complaint for filing pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Doc. no. 1.) Plaintiff did not submit the appropriate filing fee or a request to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). Upon opening the case, the Clerk of Court sent Plaintiff a deficiency notice concerning the need for an IFP motion or payment of the filing fee, as is required by Local Rule 4.1. (See doc. no. 2.) The notice explained failure to correct the deficiency could result in dismissal. (See id.) Plaintiff failed to respond to the Clerk's deficiency notice.

A district court has authority to manage its docket to expeditiously resolve cases, and this authority includes the power to dismiss a case for failure to prosecute or failure to comply with a court order. Equity Lifestyle Props., Inc. v. Fla. Mowing & Landscape Serv., Inc., 556 F.3d 1232, 1240 (11th Cir. 2009) (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b)); see also Eades v. Ala. Dep't of Human Res., 298 F. App'x 862, 863 (11th Cir. 2008) (per curiam) ("District courts possess the ability to dismiss a case . . . for want of prosecution based on two possible sources of

authority: Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) or their inherent authority to manage their dockets.").

Moreover, the Local Rules of the Southern District of Georgia dictate that an "assigned Judge"

may, after notice to counsel of record, sua sponte . . . dismiss any action for want of

prosecution, with or without prejudice . . . [for] [w]illful disobedience or neglect of any order

of the Court; or [a]ny other failure to prosecute a civil action with reasonable promptness."

Loc. R. 41.1 (b) & (c).

Plaintiff failed to comply with the requirements of the Local Rules when he did not

submit a motion to proceed IFP or pay the filing fee, and when given the opportunity to submit

the appropriate paperwork, he failed to respond to the Clerk's deficiency notice. Plaintiff's

failure to comply with the requirements of the Local Rules, and his failure to respond to the

Clerk's deficiency notice, amounts not only to a failure to prosecute, but also an abandonment

of his case. Accordingly, the Court REPORTS and RECOMMENDS that this case be

DISMISSED without prejudice and that this civil action be **CLOSED**.

SO REPORTED and RECOMMENDED this 20th day of April, 2022, at Augusta,

Georgia.

BRIAN K. EPPS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

2